1. Downsizing
   1. Negative arguments
      1. Refuting case for downsizing
         1. Most arguments for downsizing involve the claim/assumption that the shareholders (whose) interests are served by the downsizing and the downsized employees do not have equal more weight.
         2. Those arguments are all invalid, he claims.
         3. Utilitarian argument – inconclusive, especially when non-$ harms are incorporated into the calculations, and the economic downturn typical at downsized companies is taken into account (For more info on this see Louis Vuhitelle, The disposable American)
      2. Relevant dissimilarities that would warrant giving unequal status to x + y?
         1. Property rights
            1. Ownership brings with it right to freely dispose of owned object

Limits

You can’t use your property to harm people

Our intuitions about this fit personal property, but not “public impact” property.

* + - 1. Fiduciary duties (Faith or trust duties)
         1. Don’t the managers have fiduciary duties to employees?
         2. Violations have all been cases of pursuing their private interest, contrary to the corporation’s interests.
      2. Other people’s money
      3. Assumption of risk
      4. Contracts
  1. Positive arguments
     1. Showing that downsizing, under certain conditions, is morally wrong.